

TEST ACCADEMICI PER LA VALUTAZIONE DELL'HRD E IMPATTO SU PRATICA CLINICA

Sergio Marchini



HRD tests: state of the art





The technical features

✓ A library prep solution based on **hybrid-capture panel** was developed to detect in one single workflow both SCNA (backbone-12Mb+ 2.37Mbp) and SNV (378 genes, 1,7Mb).

✓ Backbone was used to infer for LOH, TAI, LST TD.

✓ 378 genes belong to the HR pathway (*BRCA1/2,, BRIP1 BARD1, PALB2, RAD51C/D*) MMR, PARPi resistance (*TP53BP1*) and actionable targets..

✓ Pipeline analysis has been developed by our "Bio-informatic' team" and locally run on our servers.





- ✓ It works with 50-70 ng of both snap frozen and FFPE tumor biopsies, with low tumor purity (< 30%).</p>
- ✓ Libraries are prepared by a "walkaway" liquid handling solution to reduce manual biases. .
- ✓ 25 libraries are pooled and run at 200x coverage on a benchtop sequencers (NextSeq550-Illumina).
- ✓ Turnaround time (TAT): 21 days



The technical features

✓ Data generated allows us to infer the HRD status through the conventional parameters:



- ✓ However, the designed "backbone" provides the opportunity to develop new metrics, such as TD to intercept those cases with HR defects not intercepted by conventional parameters.
- ✓ The SNV analysis allows to analyze the mutational profiles of *BRCA* genes, CDK12 as well as other genes involved in the resistance to PARPi (*TP53BP1*).



We have developed a roadmap of experiments based on retrospective and prospective cohort of cases aimed to test the performances of our "Academic test", in comparison with results obtained with to the benchmark test.



1- Ability to call the same set HRD cases

2- Compare the prognostic role

3- Evaluate whether additional biological information retrieved by our analysis improve 1 and 2.

The work-frame

4- Prospective validation: we will include our assay in a randomised clinical trials to test the clinical utility of our assay compared to the commercial available one

5 transfer to other clinical center for external validation of the workflow

Results from step 1 and 2 are available for the discussion





	Myriad			
		HRD	HRP	Total
ICH assay	HRD	214	23	237
	HRP	14	148	162
	Total	228	171	399

- ✓ Dropout rate= ICH 6,4%; Myriad= 9,4%
- \checkmark Agreement Rate = 90.73%
- ✓ Sensitivity = 93.86% (95% CI: 89.91%- 96.60%)
- ✓ Specificity = 86.55% (95% CI: 80.50% -91.28%)
- ✓ Cohen's K = 0.809 (95% CI from 0.751 to 0.868)



HRP

HRD

The Academic Response

PFS ANALYSIS IIT

HUMANITAS



HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,973 (0,71-1,477); *p=0,88*





HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,963 (0,72-1,48); p=0,83



HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,44 (0,31-0,0,62); p<0,0001



HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,419 (0,294-0,595); p<0,0001

HUMANITAS



1.00 -

0.50

0.25 -

p = 0.0001

Number at risk

38

HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,97 (0,677-1,39); p=0,88

Time (months)

Time (months)

HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,42 (0,27-0,66); p<0,00011

16



HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,96 (0,674-1,375);p= 0,83



HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,42 (0,25-0,71); p= 0,00082



HRP

BRCA^{+/+}



22

3

HUMANITAS



HRP

HRD

HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 1,161 (0,77-1,7); p=0,46



HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 1,182 (0,78-1,78); p=0,41





HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,63 (0,4-0,97); p=0,036

HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,59 (0,38-0,9) p=0,016

HUMANITAS



Myriad



HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 1,182(0,78-1,7);p=0,41



HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,61 (0,32-1,16); p=0,13

HRP BRCA+/+



HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 1,161 (0,86-1,7); p=0,46



HR (Olaparib vs Placebo): 0,632 (1,089-1,5); p=0,094





TAKE HOME MESSAGE

✓ A high level of concordance of the ICH assay with the HRD status collected with benchmark test was reported.

✓ This high concordance was paralleled with a very low failure rate, therefore suggesting the feasibility of ICH assay.

✓ FTO analysis revealed a possible patent infringement with Myriad MyChoice assay.

✓ We are still working on the possibility to introduce novel metrics in the analysis to improve the selection of case eligible for PARPi therapy (TD) or to predict intrinsic resistance to PARPi treatment.





Acknowledgments



Dr. Lara Paracchini
Eng. Laura Mannarino
Dr. Luca Beltrame
Eng. Aldo Sergi
Prof. Maurizio D'Incalci



Dr. Merce Guzman Vendrell
Dr. Christine Montoto-Grillot
Prof. Eric Pujade-Lauraine



✓ Dr. Daniela Califano
✓ Dr. Laura Arenare
✓ Dr. Sandro Pignata

